

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,960	10/20/2003	Peter Hauber	BLDR-04c	4416	
20986	7590 04/15/2		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LOUIS J BACHAND P O BOX 1508			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
	A, CA 910125508		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/689,960	HAUBER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU NO DATE AND	Gary W Estremsky	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed as will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  on from consideration. election requirement.	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) objected to by the I rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign [ a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/689,960

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 5, 15, and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

The claims amount to double inclusion of "window or door" and "frame" structure" already included by the independent claims. Claim 1 for example includes several limitations (see below) that singly, and especially taken together in context of the claim as a whole, can only be interpreted as positively reciting the "window or door" and "frame" defining the "opening" as part of the claimed invention.

"latch assembly for closing a door or window opening to a fixed frame" - functional recitation that does not *necessarily* include the "opening" or "frame" elements

"said keeper being mounted to one side of said opening" - unambiguously requires scope of claimed invention to include "the opening" in a particular combination and arrangement

"respective pairs,..., being relatively coaxially disposed and opposed across said opening in the open and closed condition of said door or window" - unambiguously requires scope of claim to include specific geometry of "door or window" and "frame" elements in combination

"said latch structure being mounted to the other side of said opening" - unambiguously requires scope of claimed invention to include "the opening" in a particular combination and arrangement

Claim 10 includes similar positive recitations of structures that are doubly included in the indicated dependent claims. See also MPEP 2173.05(o). In this case, clarification and/or correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,960

Art Unit: 3677

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,637,784 to Hauber. Although the claims are not identical to those of the Patent, they must be interpreted as being drawn to the same invention when properly read in light of the specification.

#### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 972,769 to Lark.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 4,834,161 to Johnson.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,642,909 to Swan.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,960

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary W Estremsky whose telephone number is 703 308-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary W Estremsky

Art Unit 3677